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I come to this magnificent house of worship tonight because my conscience leaves me no other choice. I join you in this meeting because I am in deepest agreement with the aims and work of the organization which has brought us together: Clergy and Laymen Concerned about Vietnam. The recent statements of your executive committee are the sentiments of my own heart, and I found myself in full accord when I read its opening lines: "A time comes when silence is betrayal." And that time has come for us in relation to Vietnam.

The truth of these words is beyond doubt, but the mission to which they call us is a most difficult one. Even when pressed by the demands of inner truth, men do not easily assume the task of opposing their government's policy, especially in time of war. Nor does the human spirit move without great difficulty against all the apathy of conformist thought within one's own bosom and in the surrounding world. Moreover, when the issues at hand seem as perplexed as they often do in the case of this dreadful conflict, we are always on the verge of being mesmerized by uncertainty; but we must move on.

And some of us who have already begun to break the silence of the night have found that the calling to speak is often a vocation of agony, but we must speak. We must speak with all the humility that is appropriate to our limited vision, but we must speak. And we must rejoice as well, for surely this is the first time in our nation's history that a significant number of its religious leaders have chosen to move beyond the prophesying of smooth patriotism to the high grounds of a firm dissent based upon the mandates of conscience and the reading of history. Perhaps a new spirit is rising among us. If it is, let us trace its movements and pray that our own inner being may be sensitive to its guidance, for we are deeply in need of a new way beyond the darkness that seems so close around us.

Over the past two years, as I have moved to break the betrayal of my own silences and to speak from the burnings of my own heart, as I have called for radical departures from the destruction of Vietnam, many persons have questioned me about the wisdom of my path. At the heart of their concerns this query has often loomed large and loud: "Why are you speaking about the war, Dr. King?" "Why are you joining the voices of dissent?" "Peace and civil rights don't mix," they say. "Aren't you hurting the cause of your people," they ask? And when I hear them, though I often understand the source of their concern, I am nevertheless greatly saddened, for such questions mean that the inquirers have not really known me, my commitment or my calling. Indeed, their questions suggest that they do not know the world in which they live.

In the light of such tragic misunderstanding, I deem it of signal importance to try to state clearly, and I trust concisely, why I believe that the path from Dexter Avenue Baptist Church—the church in Montgomery, Alabama, where I began my pastorate—leads clearly to this sanctuary tonight.

I come to this platform tonight to make a passionate plea to my beloved nation. This speech is not addressed to Hanoi or to the National Liberation Front. It is not addressed to China or to Russia. Nor is it an attempt to overlook the ambiguity of the total situation and the need for a collective solution to the tragedy of Vietnam. Neither is it an attempt to make North Vietnam or the National Liberation Front paragons of virtue, nor to overlook the role they must play in the successful resolution of the problem. While they both may have justifiable reasons to be suspicious of the good faith of the United States, life and
history give eloquent testimony to the fact that conflicts are never resolved without
trustful give and take on both sides.

Tonight, however, I wish not to speak with Hanoi and the National Liberation Front, but
rather to my fellowed [sic] Americans, who, with me, bear the greatest responsibility in
ending a conflict that has exacted a heavy price on both continents.

Since I am a preacher by trade, I suppose it is not surprising that I have seven
major reasons for bringing Vietnam into the field of my moral vision. There is at the
outset a very obvious and almost facile connection between the war in Vietnam and
the struggle I, and others, have been waging in America. A few years ago there was
a shining moment in that struggle. It seemed as if there was a real promise of hope
for the poor—both black and white—through the poverty program. There were
experiments, hopes, new beginnings. Then came the buildup in Vietnam, and I
watched this program broken and eviscerated, as if it were some idle political
plaything of a society gone mad on war, and I knew that America would never
invest the necessary funds or energies in rehabilitation of its poor so long as
adventures like Vietnam continued to draw men and skills and money like some
demonic destructive suction tube. So, I was increasingly compelled to see the war as
an enemy of the poor and to attack it as such.

Perhaps the more tragic recognition of reality took place when it became clear to me
that the war was doing far more than devastating the hopes of the poor at home. It
was sending their sons and their brothers and their husbands to fight and to die in
extraordinarily high proportions relative to the rest of the population. We were
taking the black young men who had been crippled by our society and sending them
eight thousand miles away to guarantee liberties in Southeast Asia which they had
not found in southwest Georgia and East Harlem. And so we have been repeatedly
faced with the cruel irony of watching Negro and white boys on TV screens as they
kill and die together for a nation that has been unable to seat them together in the
same schools. And so we watch them in brutal solidarity burning the huts of a poor
village, but we realize that they would hardly live on the same block in Chicago. I
could not be silent in the face of such cruel manipulation of the poor.

My third reason moves to an even deeper level of awareness, for it grows out of my
experience in the ghettos of the North over the last three years—especially the last
three summers. As I have walked among the desperate, rejected, and angry young
men, I have told them that Molotov cocktails and rifles would not solve their
problems. I have tried to offer them my deepest compassion while maintaining my
conviction that social change comes most meaningfully through nonviolent action.
But they ask—and rightly so—what about Vietnam? They ask if our own nation
wasn’t using massive doses of violence to solve its problems, to bring about the
changes it wanted. Their questions hit home, and I knew that I could never again
raise my voice against the violence of the oppressed in the ghettos without having
first spoken clearly to the greatest purveyor of violence in the world today -- my
own government. For the sake of those boys, for the sake of this government, for the
sake of the hundreds of thousands trembling under our violence, I cannot be silent.

For those who ask the question, "Aren't you a civil rights leader?" and thereby mean to
exclude me from the movement for peace, I have this further answer. In 1957 when a
group of us formed the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, we chose as our
motto: "To save the soul of America." We were convinced that we could not limit our
vision to certain rights for black people, but instead affirmed the conviction that America
would never be free or saved from itself until the descendants of its slaves were loosed
completely from the shackles they still wear. In a way we were agreeing with Langston Hughes, that black bard of Harlem, who had written earlier:

\[
O, \text{ yes,} \\
I \text{ say it plain,} \\
\text{America never was America to me,} \\
\text{And yet I swear this oath --} \\
\text{America will be!}
\]

Now, it should be incandescently clear that no one who has any concern for the integrity and life of America today can ignore the present war. If America's soul becomes totally poisoned, part of the autopsy must read: Vietnam. It can never be saved so long as it destroys the deepest hopes of men the world over. So it is that those of us who are yet determined that America will be are led down the path of protest and dissent, working for the health of our land.